Paper No. 1491
Disposes of Oral Application
and Paper No. 1480

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST SITTING AT TOKYO, JAPAN

Case No. 1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al

- VS -

ARAKI, Sadao, et al

mentioned the

ORDER
ORANTING PERMISSION
TO REOPEN CASE OF
ACCUSED OSHIMA, Hiroshi
FOR THE PURPOSE OF OFFERING
ADDITIONAL TESTIMONY

This matter coming on for decision by the Tribunal in open court this 8th day of January, 1948, upon the application of the Accused OSHIMA, Hiroshi, by his counsel of record, for leave to reopen his individual case for the purpose of offering testimony of two additional witnesses to combat certain testimony introduced and admitted in the individual case of the Accused TOGO subsequent to the conclusion of the presentation of the individual defense of said Accused OSHIMA, said application having been made orally in open court on the 16th day of December 1947 and renewed in Chambers on the 7th day of January 1948 by written application which is Paper No. 1480, reference to which is hereby made and which sets forth the names of the witnesses intended to be offered in behalf of said Accused OSHIMA, and the Tribunal having heard the statements and arguments of counsel for said Accused and the Prosecution, and being fully advised in the premises, it is

ORDERED: That the Accused OSHIMA, Hiroshi, be allowed to reopen his case for the purpose of offering the evidence of two witnesses, KOGIMA and GODO; and it is further

ORDERED: That the Accused HOSHINO, Nacki, be allowed to reopen his case for the purpose of offering the following documents Excerpts from the draft of Great East Co-prosperity Sphere (Ex. 688) Title Page Def. Doc. 2964-A Page 1 thereof Def. Doc. 2964-B 1. 2. Excerpts from the First Total War Table Maneuver (Ex. 870)
Page 1 thereof Def. Doc. 2965-A
Page 2 thereof Def. Doc. 2965-B Excerpt from Record of the First Total War Table Maneuver (Ex. 871) Title page Def. Doc. 2966 4. Regulation concerning the students of the Total War Research Institute Def. Doc. 2967 and it is further ORDERED: That all objections which the Prosecution may have to the admission in evidence of said documents are reserved to the Prosecution, subject to be made at such time as said documents are offered. BY THE TRIBUNAL: (signed) _ - 2 doc/f1c9bb/